

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In the above-mentioned Office Action, claims 1-4, 9-14, 16-22, 24, 25, 28-36, 42, 47-51, 57, 59-61, 66-72, 74, 75, 79, 80, 83, 84, 86-88, 91-93, 97-105, 107, 109-111 and 113-115 were rejected under the judicially-created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims issuing in U.S. Patent 6,632,042 ('042). In response to those rejections a Terminal Disclaimer is filed herewith.

Claim 36 was rejected as being indefinite. It has been amended to overcome that indefiniteness rejection.

Claim 109 has been amended to distinguish over the corresponding claim in the '042 patent.

Claims 13, 48 and 102 have been amended to correct minor clerical errors. These amendments do not affect the scope of the amended claims.

New claims 121-185 are herein added. These claims include independent claims 121, 146 and 175. Brief discussions of each of these independent claims follow.

New independent claim 121 is directed to an assembly that includes a front sheet and a back sheet forming a sheet pocket protector. The front sheet has specifically positioned window indicia.

New dependent claim 122 includes identifier indicia which are not disclosed by the prior art.

New independent claim 146 is directed to a tab divider system, which includes front and back sheets that form a sheet protector pocket. The front sheet has window indicia defining a plurality of windows.

New dependent claim 147 includes identifier indicia defining a plurality of tab identifiers. The relationships of the windows and tab identifiers are set forth in the claim.

New independent claim 175 is directed to a method of organizing tab dividers. It includes referring to the last paragraph thereof, "inserting the sheet into the pocket through the top opening into a viewing position such that each of the sheet indicia is viewable through the front sheet through respective ones of the windows and the sheet indicia are each aligned with a respective one of the tabs when the pocket is assembled with the tab dividers."


It is requested that the Examiner formally consider the prior art earlier cited by Applicants in their Information Disclosure Statement. This prior art was cited in the '042 patent, which the present Examiner examined.

Accordingly, it is respectfully contended that this continuation application is in condition for allowance. If there are any remaining issues, the Examiner is encouraged to telephone the undersigned counsel for Applicants to seek to resolve them.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 07-1853. Should such additional fees be associated with an extension of time, Applicants respectfully request that this paper be considered a petition therefor.

Dated: June 18, 2004

Respectfully submitted,



Douglas N. Larson
Registration No. 29,401

SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P.
801 South Figueroa Street, 14th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90017-5554
Telephone: (213) 624-2500
Facsimile : (213) 623-4581